Case 1:07-cv-06714 Document 32-2 Filed 12/07/2007 Page 1 of 5 FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

MAURA C 19 HILLTO	BIR	JRTON		*		y
BALTIMO	RE N	D 21228		*		
Full name and address of the plaintiff) Plaintiff(s)				*		07 CV 0869
	VS.				Civil No.:	To be filled in by Court
D D	1		_		(Leave blank.	To be filled in by Court.)
LEUTSCHE D	MKN	ATIONAL IRVE		*		
AS IKUSIE C	10 AM	C MORTGAGE		CES INC		
BEIRMAN, GR	ZUCAK ZSINIG	S. MAD II	UNG			
4520 EAST W	15/17 t	TIGHWAY		*		
SUTE 200		2000				
BETHESDA	MU	10814				
Full name and addr			**	*****		
	Defei	ndant(s)	41. 41	****		
			CON	<u>IPLAINT</u>		
1.	Jurisd	iction in this case	e is based	d on:		
	ū	Diversity (none is a resident)	e of the d	efendants are	e residents of the	e state where plaintiff
	¾	Federal questio United States C	n (suit is Constitutio	based upon on)	a federal statute	or provision of the
	٦	Other (explain)				

1. PLAINTIFF ENTERED INTO 3 SEPERATE MORTGAGE
ACREEMENTS IN JULY 2004, FEBRUARY 2005 AND
OCTOBER 2005, WITH DEFENDANT AMC (AMERIQUEST)
2. IN SETEMBER 2004 AMC INCORRECTLY REPORTED
AUGUST 2004 MORTGAGE PAYMEUT 30 DAYS LATE.
3. IN JUNE, JULY AND AUGUST 2005 AMC INCORRECTLY
REPORTED THESE PAYMENTS AS 30-90 DAYS LATE.
4. IN SEPTEMBER 2005 PLAINTIFF NOTIFIED AMC
OF ALL INACCURACIES.
5. IN OCTOBER 2005 AMC ACKNOWLEDGED THESE ERRORS
AND AGREED TO CORRECTLY REPORT TO ALL 3 CREDIT BURDAL
6. NO PRIOR NOTICE OF ANY ERRONEOUS REPORTING WAS
GIVON TO PLAINTIFF AS REQUIRED BY 15 U.S.C. \$1681s-
7. A CORY OF AMC LETTER DATED OCTOBER 2005 IS INCLUDED
IN THIS COMPLAINT.
8. IN JANUARY 2006 PLAINTIFF AGAIN NOTIFIED AMCTHAT
IN ADDITION TO THE ALREADY ACKNOWLEDGED INACCURACIE
THAT WERE BEING REPORTED, DECEMBER 2005 WAS
NOW REPORTED AS 30 DAYS LATE, WHICH WAS INCORRECT.
NO NOTICE WAS GIVEN BY AMC AS REQUIRED BY
15 U.S.C. \$16815-2.

9. IN JANUARY 2006 AS A RESULT OF INACCURATE CREDIT REPORTING BY AMC PLAINTIFF WAS DENIED A STUDENT LOW BY SEVERAL DIFFERENT LENDERS. 10. PLAINTHE WAS FORCED TO PAY OUT OF POCKET TUTION, ROOM AND BOXED, FEES & OTHER EXPENSES FOR HER SON TO COMPLETE HIS SENIOR YEAR OF COURGE. 11. PLAINTIFF FELL BEHIND ON MORTGAGE PAYMENTS IN APRIL AND MAY OF 2006 DUE TO EDUCATION RELATED EXPENSES DESCRIBED ABOVE. 12. FLAINTIFF TRIED UNGUCCESFULLY TO RESOLVE THE MORTGAGE PAYMENT ISSUES WITH AMC. 13. IN SEPTEMBER OF 2006 KAINTIFF DISCOVERED THAT NO CORRECTIONS HAD BEEN MADE BY AMC UNTIL AUGUST 2006 OF INACCURACIES IN MORTGAGE PAYMENT HISTORY. 4. AUGUST 2006 AMC FILED FORECLOSURE PROCEEDINGS WITH THE CIRCUIT COURT IN BALTIMORE COUNTY. 15. OCTOBER 2006 PLAINTIFF FILED A CHAPTER 13 BANKRUPTCY IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR MD. TO PREVIAIT FORECLOSURE SALE OF HER HOME.

_
16. PLAINTIFF BELEIVES THAT AMC HAS NO STANDING
TO HAVE FILED FORECLOSURE PROCEEDINGS BECAUSE
THEY HAVE FAILED TO COMPLY WITH 15 U.S.C. \$ 16813-2
AND THEREFORE BECAUSE OF THEIR UNFAIR AND
DECEPTIVE ACTS THEY ARE IN VIOLATION OF SECTION
5 (a) OF THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION ACT
15 U.S.C. \$45(a) WHICH CALLS FOR A PENALTY
OF NO MORE THAN \$ 2,500,00 PER VIOLATION.
17. AMC HAS FAILED TO CORRECT THEIR BRRORS
FOR 24 MONTHS.
WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF RESPECTFULLY REQUESTS.
THAT THE COURT ENTER AN ORDER :
A. BARRING DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST
COMPANY, TRUSTEE C/O AMC MORTGAGE SERVICES
INC, BEIRMAN, GEESING & WARD, LLC.,
FROM PERSUING FORECLOSURE PROCEEDINGS
AGAINST THE PLAINTIFF, AND
B. AWARDING THE PLAINTIFF \$60,000.00
$(24 \text{ MONTHS } \times $2,500.00)$
C. GRANTING SUCH OTHER AND FURTHER RELEIF AS MAY BE JUST
AND PROPER.

Case	1:07-c	y-06714 Document 32-2 Filed 12/07/2007 Page 5 of 5 lief I want the court to order is:
	A	Damages in the amount of:
	Ø	An injunction ordering: DISMISSAL OF FORECLOSURE
		PROCEEDINGS AGAINST PLAINTIFF.
		Other (explain)
		Manu C Duran
		(original signature of plaintiff)
		MAURA C BURTON
		19 HILLTOP PL
		BAUTIMORE MD 21228
		(address of plaintiff)